

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Institute of Museum and Library Services Act
(1996)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and
Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996)

1996

Institute of Museum and Library Services Act (1996): Correspondence 03

David Evans

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_37

Recommended Citation

Evans, David, "Institute of Museum and Library Services Act (1996): Correspondence 03" (1996). *Institute of Museum and Library Services Act (1996)*. Paper 16.
http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_37/16

This Correspondence is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Institute of Museum and Library Services Act (1996) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Author: David Evans at Labor
Date: 9/12/96 3:15 PM
Priority: Normal
TO: Kevin Wilson at Pell-DC
TO: Sherry Kaiman
TO: Jennifer Eason
TO: Pamela Walker
Subject: Workforce Development Nightmare Development

----- Message Contents -----

Kassebaum filed the language of the Conference as an amendment to Treasury Postal today. Kennedy submitted a second degree of the amendments the Democrats presented in Conference. An effort is being made to try to accommodate the Democrats and pass the damn thing. Kassebaum has apparently already given on School to Work and Dislocated Vouchers. Other matters, including the formula, are outstanding. She would like a time agreement, but that can be achieved only by unanimous consent, which gives us some power to get what we want.

She would also like to bring the Conference Agreement up and pass it along with a concurrent resolution containing the changes she and the Democrats (read Kennedy) can agree upon. Problem with this is that the House would pass the Conference Report and ignore the concurrent resolution.

The alternative would be to reach an agreement on amendments that need to be added to the Conference and incorporate those into a bill that would be introduced, passed and sent to the House, and they would have to vote it up or down, or deep six it some other way.

Yes, the nightmare continues.